

From: [McKenna, James \(Jim\)](#)
To: [OMEALY Mikell](#); dlikesay@groundwatersolutions.com; [Applegate, Rick](#); [Wyatt, Robert](#); SaraG@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US; RICKA@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US; [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](mailto:Eric.Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA); [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](mailto:Chip.Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA)
Subject: RE: Planning for Tuesday's CSM meeting
Date: 04/14/2006 12:51 PM

Thanks Mikell. In terms of your agenda, it looks fine to me. For the intro & opening comments just identify "LWG Management Team", for the CSM discussion the LWG lead will be Nick Varnum, and for the Comp Round 2 Report discussion the LWG lead will be Keith Pine. For the Identification of Round 3 data needs I would not ID a specific person, but just leave it open for general discussion. Thanks, Jim.

-----Original Message-----

From: OMEALY Mikell [mailto:OMEALY.Mikell@deq.state.or.us]
Sent: Friday, April 14, 2006 12:12 PM
To: OMEALY Mikell; dlikesay@groundwatersolutions.com; Applegate, Rick; Wyatt, Robert; SaraG@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US; McKenna, James (Jim); RICKA@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US; blischke.eric@epa.gov; humphrey.chip@epa.gov
Subject: RE: Planning for Tuesday's CSM meeting

Although I haven't gotten Dave's notes yet, I've talked with Chip, Keith Pine, Jim Anderson and others to get a sense for what it sounds like you want to accomplish next Tuesday. Attached is a draft agenda for your review. I'd like to send out a final agenda to all participants today if possible, but as the attachment notes, I first want to identify a few folks to lead some of our discussions, and confirm that this agenda matches your thoughts for our meeting goals. Please take a quick look and let me know of any changes you think are needed.

Thanks,
Mikell

-----Original Message-----

From: Applegate, Rick [mailto:RICKA@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US]
Sent: Thursday, April 13, 2006 10:15 AM
To: OMEALY Mikell
Cc: 'dlikesay@groundwatersolutions.com'
Subject: RE: Planning for Tuesday's CSM meeting

Thanks, Mikell. Dave has those and is making sure they are written correctly. He will forward them to you shortly.

Dave: Here's Mikell's email. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: OMEALY Mikell
To: OMEALY Mikell; Applegate, Rick; Wyatt, Robert ; Gardner, Sara; Jim.McKenna@portofportland.com; blischke.eric@epa.gov; humphrey.chip@epa.gov
Sent: 4/13/2006 9:07 AM
Subject: RE: Planning for Tuesday's CSM meeting

Chip let me know that you all did some good thinking/planning for next Tuesday's meeting in yesterday's PH managers meeting. He also told me that "Rick had the notes" from yesterday's meeting, so Rick - if you and I can connect sometime today to develop a draft agenda for Tuesday, all of us shouldn't need to meet as a group to talk it over.

Rick - I'm available much of the day today. Just let me know when works best for you. We can talk on the phone or meet in person, whichever you'd prefer.

Thanks,
Mikell

-----Original Message-----

From: OMEALY Mikell
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2006 1:16 PM
To: 'Applegate, Rick'; 'Wyatt, Robert '; Gardner, Sara; Jim.McKenna@portofportland.com '; blischke.eric@epa.gov '; humphrey.chip@epa.gov '
Subject: RE: Planning for Tuesday's CSM meeting

Thanks, Bob and Rick. It sounds like a conference call at either 11:30 or noon is our best option.

Chip, Eric and Jim - Let us know if this might work for you. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Applegate, Rick [mailto:RICKA@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US]
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2006 1:12 PM
To: OMEALY Mikell; 'Wyatt, Robert '; Gardner, Sara; Jim.McKenna@portofportland.com '; Applegate, Rick; blischke.eric@epa.gov '; humphrey.chip@epa.gov '
Subject: RE: Planning for Tuesday's CSM meeting

Call for me works the best; after 11am and before 1pm when I get on the plane. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Wyatt, Robert [mailto:rjw@nwnatural.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2006 12:44 PM
To: OMEALY Mikell
Subject: Re: Planning for Tuesday's CSM meeting

Hi Mikell, thanks very much for the follow up. Other than a meeting I have from 10:00 to 11:00 - 11:30 tomorrow my schedule is flexible. Either in person or a call would be fine for me. The LWG can make the same conference line we used for our last call available again.

Bob

-----Original Message-----

From: OMEALY Mikell
To: OMEALY Mikell; Wyatt, Robert; SaraG@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US; Jim.McKenna@portofportland.com; RICKA@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US; blischke.eric@epa.gov; humphrey.chip@epa.gov
Sent: 4/12/2006 12:39 PM
Subject: Planning for Tuesday's CSM meeting

Hi all,

I'd like to schedule a time for us to talk (in person or by phone) about what we hope to achieve in next Tuesday's CSM meeting, and the process we'll use to get there. Based on yesterday's discussion, it sounds like our goal for Tuesday is to determine whether we (EPA/partners and LWG) share a common vision for the CSM, and if not, to identify what we need to do to align our thinking and resolve any issues. My understanding is that the basis for Tuesday's discussion will be (1) the LWG's outline of the CSM (due today or tomorrow), which will lay out the LWG's vision, and (2) a list of questions or suggestions from EPA/partners (also due today or tomorrow) that lays out our vision.

Please let me know your availability for meeting tomorrow, anytime between 8:00 a.m and 3:00 p.m., and whether you'd prefer an in-person meeting or call. We shouldn't need more than 30 or 45 minutes.

Thanks,
Mikell

Mikell O'Mealy
Portland Harbor Outreach Coordinator
DEQ Northwest Region Office
Phone: 503-229-6590
Email: omealy.mikell@deq.state.or.us